

Nairian models and forcing axioms

Douglas Blue



University of Pittsburgh

NARODOWE CENTRUM NAUKI

Luminy Set Theory Workshop 2025

The Proper Forcing Axiom

Conjecture

PFA implies there is an inner model with a supercompact cardinal.

Theorem (Todorćević)

Assume PFA. Then $\neg \square(\kappa)$ for all $\kappa > \omega_1$.

- ▶ Lower bound computations for PFA go through failures of square
 - ▶ Schimmerling, Steel, Jensen-Schimmerling-Schindler-Steel
 - ▶ Sargsyan-Trang have obtained a model of LSA

Conjecture

1. (Zeman) $\neg \square_\kappa$ for κ singular is equiconsistent with a subcompact
2. (Steel) $\neg \square_\kappa$ for κ singular strong limit requires a superstrong

The Proper Forcing Axiom

Theorem (Viale-Weiss)

Suppose κ is an inaccessible cardinal and PFA is forced by an iteration \mathbb{P} collapsing κ to ω_2 such that

1. \mathbb{P} is the direct limit of an iteration $\langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ which takes direct limits stationarily often, and
2. $|\mathbb{P}_\alpha| < \kappa$ for all $\alpha < \kappa$.

Then κ is strongly compact. If \mathbb{P} is proper, then κ is supercompact.

The Proper Forcing Axiom

- ▶ I.e. If PFA holds and N is an inner model with the ω_2 -cover and ω_2 -approximation properties in which ω_2 is inaccessible, then ω_2^V is strongly compact.
- ▶ (Usuba) If δ is weakly compact and $V[G]$ is a δ -cc forcing extension, then V has the δ -cover and δ -approximation properties in $V[G]$.

Key question

Are there any other methods for building models of PFA/MM?

Woodin's consistency proof for Martin's Maximum

Theorem (Woodin)

Assume there is a Vopěnka cardinal δ and there is an elementary embedding $j : V_\delta \rightarrow V_\delta$ with $V_\kappa \prec V_\delta$, where $\kappa = \text{crit}(j)$. Then there is a revised countable support iteration \mathbb{P} of semiproper forcings such that if $g \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ is V -generic, then

$$V[g]_\delta \models \text{ZFC} + \text{MM}^{++}.$$

Moreover, in $V[g]_\delta$ there is no proper inner model of ZFC with the $\omega_2^{V[g]}$ -cover and $\omega_2^{V[g]}$ -approximation properties in $V[g]_\delta$.

- ▶ Vacuous if the HOD conjecture is true

Axiom $(*)^{++}$

Theorem (Aspero-Schindler)

Assume MM^{++} . Then axiom $(*)$ holds.

Definition (Axiom $(*)^{++}$)

There is a pointclass $\Gamma \subset \wp(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\max}$ such that

1. $L(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) \models \text{AD}^+$,
2. g is $L(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ -generic, and
3. $\wp(\mathbb{R}) \in L(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})[g]$.

Question (Woodin)

Is MM^{++} consistent with $(*)^{++}$? Is SRP consistent with $(*)^{++}$?

The cofinality of $\Theta^{L(\Gamma^\infty, \mathbb{R})}$

- ▶ Θ is the least ordinal which is not the surjective image of \mathbb{R}
- ▶ Γ^∞ denotes the collection of universally Baire sets

Theorem (Woodin)

1. $(*)^{++}$ implies $\Theta^{L(\Gamma^\infty, \mathbb{R})} = \omega_3$
2. Suppose δ is a supercompact cardinal. If there are class many Woodin cardinals and $V[g]$ is a δ -cc forcing extension in which $\delta = \omega_2$, then $V[g] \models \Theta^{L(\Gamma^\infty, \mathbb{R})} < \omega_3$.

Forcing over models of $AD_{\mathbb{R}} + “\Theta$ is regular.”

Theorem (Woodin)

Assume $AD_{\mathbb{R}} + “\Theta$ is regular.” Then $\mathbb{P}_{\max} * Add(\omega_3, 1) \Vdash MM^{++}(c)$.

Theorem (Caicedo-Larson-Sargsyan-Schindler-Steel-Zeman)

Assume $AD_{\mathbb{R}} + “\Theta$ is regular”. Suppose the set of κ which are regular in HOD and have cofinality ω_1 is stationary in Θ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}_{\max} * Add(\omega_3, 1) \Vdash MM^{++}(c) + \neg \square(\omega_2) + \neg \square_{\omega_2}.$$

Theorem (Larson-Sargsyan)

Assume $AD_{\mathbb{R}} + \exists \lambda \bowtie_{\lambda}$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}_{\max} * Add(\omega_3, 1) * Add(\omega_4, 1) \Vdash \neg \square(\omega_3) + \neg \square(\omega_4).$$

- ▶ (Woodin) MM^{++} cannot be forced over a determinacy model of the form $L(S, \wp(\mathbb{R}))$ for $S \subset \text{Ord}$.

Nairian models

Definition

Assume LSA and let $(\theta_\gamma : \gamma \leq \Omega)$ be the Solovay sequence. Suppose $\alpha + 1 \leq \Omega$ is such that

$$\text{HOD} \models \text{“}\theta_{\alpha+1} \text{ is a limit of Woodin cardinals.”}$$

Let $M = V_{\theta_{\alpha+1}}^{\text{HOD}}$ and $N = L_{\theta_{\alpha+1}}(\bigcup_{\eta < \theta_{\alpha+1}} (M|_\eta)^\omega)$.

- ▶ (Woodin, building on Steel) $N \models \text{ZF}$.
- ▶ For this talk, a *Nairian model* is an initial segment N_γ of N such that $N_\gamma \models \text{ZF}$.
- ▶ Nairian models exist assuming less than a Woodin limit of Woodin cardinals

Theorem (B.-Sargsyan)

For $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, the theory

1. *there are class many Woodin cardinals,*
2. Γ^∞ *is sealed, and*
3. $\Theta^{L(\Gamma^\infty, \mathbb{R})} = \omega_i$

is consistent.

- ▶ For ω_2 and ω_3 , uses forcing over Nairian models

Failures of square

Theorem (B.-Larson-Sargsyan)

Fix $n < \omega$. In a forcing extension of a Nairian model, $\neg \square(\aleph_i)$ holds for all $i \in [2, n]$.

Theorem (B.-Larson-Sargsyan)

Let N_γ be the least initial segment of N such that $N_\gamma \models \text{ZF}$. Then in a forcing extension $N_\gamma[g]$ of N_γ , $\neg \square(\kappa)$ holds for all $\kappa > \omega_1$.

Corollary

$\text{ZFC} + \forall \kappa > \omega_1 \neg \square(\kappa) <_{\text{Con}} \text{ZFC} + \text{WLW}$.

- ▶ (Neeman-Steel) Assuming an iterability hypothesis, if δ is a Woodin cardinal such that $\neg \square_\delta + \neg \square(\delta)$, then there is an inner model of $\text{ZFC} +$ “there is a subcompact cardinal.”

The HOD conjecture I

Definition

A regular cardinal $\kappa > \omega_1$ is ω -strongly measurable in HOD if there is $\gamma < \kappa$ such that

1. $(2^\gamma)^{\text{HOD}} < \kappa$
2. $\{\alpha < \kappa : \text{cof}(\alpha) = \omega\}$ cannot be definably partitioned into γ sets.

Theorem (HOD Dichotomy theorem; Woodin, Goldberg)

If δ is a supercompact cardinal, then exactly one of the following hold.

1. No regular cardinal $\gamma \geq \delta$ is ω -strongly measurable in HOD.
2. Class many regular cardinals are ω -strongly measurable in HOD.

- **HOD Hypothesis:** There is a class of regular cardinals which are not ω -strongly measurable in HOD.

The HOD conjecture II

Definition (HOD conjecture)

ZFC + “there is a supercompact cardinal” \vdash the HOD Hypothesis.

Theorem (Ben Neria-Hayut)

It is consistent relative to an inaccessible cardinal κ with $\kappa = \sup_{\alpha < \kappa} o(\alpha)$ that every successor of a regular cardinal is ω -strongly measurable in HOD.

Theorem (B.-Larson-Sargsyan)

In $N_\gamma[g]$, successors of singular cardinals are ω -strongly measurable in HOD.

- ▶ The HOD Hypothesis is not provable in ZFC.

Stationary Set Reflection I

Definition (SRP; Todorćević)

Suppose $\lambda > \omega_1$ and $S \subseteq \wp_{\omega_1}(\lambda)$ is projective stationary. Then for every $X \subseteq \lambda$ such that $\omega_1 \subseteq X$ and $|X| = \omega_1$, there is $X \subseteq Y \subseteq \lambda$ of size ω_1 such that $S \cap \wp_{\omega_1}(Y)$ contains a club in $\wp_{\omega_1}(Y)$.

Theorem (Woodin)

Assume SRP. Then exactly one of the following hold.

1. $\text{Ord}^\omega \subset \text{HOD}$.
2. *There is an ordinal α such that every regular cardinal $\kappa > \alpha$ is ω -strongly measurable in HOD.*

Definition (The (HOD+SRP) conjecture)

ZFC + SRP proves that $\text{Ord}^\omega \subset \text{HOD}$.

Stationary Set Reflection II

Definition (SRP*; Woodin)

Suppose $\lambda > \omega_1$. There is a normal fine ideal $I \subset \wp(\wp_{\omega_1}(\lambda))$ such that

1. for every stationary $T \subset \omega_1$, the set $\{\sigma \in \wp_{\omega_1}(\lambda) \mid \sigma \cap \omega_1 \in T\} \notin I$
2. if $S \subset \wp_{\omega_1}(\lambda)$ is such that for each stationary $T \subset \omega_1$, $\{X \in S : X \cap \omega_1 \in T\} \notin I$, there is $\omega_1 < \gamma < \lambda$ such that $S \cap \wp_{\omega_1}(\gamma)$ contains a club in $\wp_{\omega_1}(\gamma)$.

Theorem (Steel-Zoble)

Suppose NS_{ω_1} is saturated, $2^\omega \leq \omega_2$, and $SRP^*(\omega_2)$. Then $L(\mathbb{R}) \models AD$.

Theorem (B.-Sargsyan)

SRP^* holds in $N_\gamma[g]$.

Stationary Set Reflection III

Quasi-Club Conjecture

In Nairian models, the quasi-club filter on $\wp_{\omega_1}(\lambda)$ is an ultrafilter for all $\lambda \in \text{Ord}$.

Theorem (B. Sargsyan)

Assuming the Quasi-Club conjecture, $N_\gamma[g] \models \text{SRP}$.

Corollary

The (HOD+SRP) conjecture is false, assuming the Quasi-Club conjecture.

- ▶ ω_2 is a supercompact cardinal in N_γ

Theorem (B.-Sargsyan)

SRP is consistent with $(*)^{++}$. If the Quasi-Club conjecture is true, then SRP is consistent with $(*)^{++}$.*

Consequences of MM

Theorem (B.-Sargsyan)

The following hold in $N_\gamma[g]$.

- ▶ *Moore's Open Mapping Reflection, and*
- ▶ *$MA^+(\sigma\text{-closed})$.*

Question

Does the P-Ideal Dichotomy hold in $N_\gamma[g]$?

Question

1. Is MM equivalent to some conjunction of these principles?
2. Could some fragment of MM^{++} suffice to produce a “minimal” model of MM^{++} ?

Conclusion: Further Questions

Question

Is ω_1 a Θ^+ -Berkeley cardinal in the full Nairian model?¹

Question

Can a Woodin cardinal exist in a forcing extension of a Nairian model?

Question

Are the universally Baire sets sealed in full Nairian models? In their ZFC forcing extensions?

Question

What is the axiomatic theory of N ?

Thank you

¹A cardinal κ is η -Berkeley if for every transitive M with $|M| < \eta$ and every $\alpha < \kappa$, there is an elementary embedding $j : M \rightarrow M$ with $\text{crit}(j) \in (\alpha, \kappa)$.